



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY  
ADVANCED SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND INNOVATION  
(IJMASRI)

ISSN: 2582-9130

IBI IMPACT FACTOR 1.5

DOI: 10.53633/IJMASRI

REVIEW ARTICLE

A STUDY ON VIRAL INFECTION MODEL WITH MODIFIED INFECTION RATE

<sup>1</sup>Sajad Ahmad Taray and <sup>1</sup>Tariq Ahmad Shikarie

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Government Degree College Dooru Anantnag, Jammu & Kashmir

Abstract

In this chapter the main purpose is to study on viral infection model with modify infection rate. We perform a qualitative analysis and derive the All-Type dynamics which results from the appearance of bistable state or saddle-node state. In section 2.2, the qualitative analysis is performed, and the stability of the equilibria is obtained.

**Key words:** infection, infection equilibrium, bifurcation of cusp-type with codimension two

Introduction

*Model Formation.*

Let  $x(t)$  represents the number of susceptible cells which are produced at a constant rate  $\lambda$  die at a density-dependent rate  $dx$ , and became infected with a rate  $\beta uv$ , infected cells ( $y(t)$ ) are produced at rate  $\beta uv$  and die at desity dependent rate  $ay$ : free virus particles  $v(t)$  are released from infected cells at the rate  $ky$  and die at a rate  $uv$ . The amount of free virus is simply proportional to the number of infected cells because the dynamics of virus is substantially faster

than that of infected cells,  $u \gg a$ ,  $k \gg \lambda$ . Thus the number of infected cells  $y(t)$  can also be considered as a major of virus load  $v(t)$  (Bernoulli, 1760)). The infection terms are based on the mass-action principle, that is the infection rate per susceptible cell and for virus is a constant  $\beta$ . However, infection experiments are of (Anderson and May 1998) suggests that the infection rate of microparasitic infections is an increasing function of the parasite dose and is usually sigmoidal in shape. Thus as (Anderson, R.M and May 1991), Regoes et. al and Yumei, Yu, Juan, J., Nieto and Kaifa Wang, Anderson, R.M and May 1991) take the non-linear

infection rate into account by relaxing the mass-action assumption. I have modified the infection rate of viral infection model of Yumai, Yu, Juan, J., Nieto and Kaifa Wang and the model is

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda - dx - \beta(y)x$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \beta(y)x - ay$$

Here the infection rate per susceptible cell  $\beta(y)$  is a sigmodial function of the virus (parasite) concentration because the number of infected cells  $y(t)$  can also be considered as a measure of virus load which is represented in the following form

$$\beta(y) = \frac{\left(\frac{y}{ID_{50}}\right)^k}{\rho + \left(\frac{y}{ID_{50}}\right)^k} \quad \begin{matrix} k > 1 \\ \rho > 1 \end{matrix}$$

Here  $ID_{50}$  denotes theinfection dose at which 50% of the susceptible cells are infected.  $K$  measures the slope of the sigmodial curve at  $ID_{50}$

$\left(\frac{y}{ID_{50}}\right)^k$  measures the infection force of the virus.  $\frac{1}{\rho + \left(\frac{y}{ID_{50}}\right)^k}$  measures the inhibition effect from the

behavioral change of the susceptible cells. Now take  $K = 2$  is system (2.1.1) we have

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda - dx - \frac{\left(\frac{y}{ID_{50}}\right)^2}{\rho + \left(\frac{y}{ID_{50}}\right)^2} x$$

and 
$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\left(\frac{y}{ID_{50}}\right)^2}{\rho + \left(\frac{y}{ID_{50}}\right)^2} x - ay$$

and 
$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda - dx - \frac{y^2}{\rho ID_{50}^2 + y^2} x$$

(2.1.2)

and 
$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{y^2}{\rho ID_{50}^2 + y^2} x - ay$$

To be concise in notations, rescale (2.1.2) by  $X = \frac{x}{ID_{50}}$  and  $Y = \frac{y}{ID_{50}}$

For simplicity we still use variables  $x, y$  instead of  $X$  and  $Y$  and obtain

$$\frac{dXID_{50}}{dt} = \lambda - dXID_{50} - \frac{y^2 ID_{50}^2}{\rho ID_{50}^2 + Y^2 ID_{50}^2} XID_{50}$$

$$\frac{dXID_{50}}{dt} = \lambda - dXID_{50} - \left(\frac{y^2}{\rho + Y^2}\right) XID_{50}$$

multiply both sides by  $\frac{1}{ID_{50}}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{ID_{50}}, \frac{dXID_{50}}{dt} \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{ID_{50}} - \frac{dXID_{50}}{ID_{50}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{ID_{50}} \left[ \frac{y^2}{\rho + Y^2} \right] XID_{50} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{dx}{dt} = m - dx \\ &\quad - \left[ \frac{y^2}{\rho + Y^2} \right] x \quad \text{where} \quad m = \frac{\lambda}{ID_{50}} \end{aligned}$$

Or 
$$\frac{dx}{dt} = m - dx - \frac{y^2}{\rho + y^2} x$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{y^2}{\rho + y^2} x - ay$$

Where  $m = \frac{\lambda}{ID_{50}}$  note that  $\frac{1}{d}$  is the average life time of susceptible cells and  $\frac{1}{a}$  is the average life time infected cells. Thus  $a \geq d$  is always valid by means of biological detection. If  $a = d$  the virus does not kill infected cells. Therefore the virus is non cytophatic in vivo. However when  $a > d$  which means the virus kills infected cells before its average life time. The virus is cytophatic in vivo.

**Qualitative Analysis**

In this section our main aim is to study the effect of the non-linear infection rate on the dynamics of (2.1.3) we will perform a qualitative analysis and derive the allee type dynamic which results from the appearance of bistable states or saddle node in (2.1.3). In this section the qualitative analysis of (2.1.3) is performed, and the stability of the equilibria is obtained.

In order to find positive (infection) equilibria we set

$$m - dx - \frac{y^2}{\rho + y^2}x = 0 \quad (2.2.1)$$

And  $\frac{y^2}{\rho + y^2}x = ay = 0$

We have from equation (2.2.2), we have

$$y \left( \frac{y}{\rho + y^2} x \right) = ay$$

Based on this equation, we have

- (i) There is no infection equilibria if  $m^2 < 4a^2d\rho(1+d)$
  - (ii) There is unique infection equilibrium  $E_1 = (x^*, y^*)$  if  $m^2 = 4a^2d\rho(1+d)$
  - (iii) There are two infection equilibria  $E_{1,2} = (\bar{x}_2, \bar{y}_2)$  of  $m^2 > 4a^2d\rho(1+d)$
- Since equation (2.2.3) is quadratic in y

∴ we have

$$= \frac{m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - 4a^2d\rho(1+d)}}{2a(1+d)}$$

thus  $y^* = \frac{m}{2a(1+d)}$        $x^* = a \frac{(\rho + y^{*2})}{y^*}$

$$\bar{y}_1 = \frac{m - \sqrt{m^2 - 4a^2d\rho(1+d)}}{2a(1+d)} \quad \bar{x}_1 = \frac{a(\rho + \bar{y}_1^2)}{y^*}$$

$$\bar{y}_2 = \frac{m + \sqrt{m^2 - 4a^2d\rho(1+d)}}{2a(1+d)} \quad \bar{x}_1 = \frac{a(\rho + \bar{y}_1^2)}{y^*}$$

Thus the surface  $SN = \{(m, d, a) : m^2 = 4a^2d\rho(1+d)\}$  (2.2.5) is a saddle – node – bifurcation surface, that is on one side of the surface SN system (2.1.3) has not any positive equilibria on the surface SN system (2.1.3) has only one positive equilibria: on the other side of the surface SN System (2.1.3) has two positive equilibria. The detailed results will follow now we determine the stability of  $E_{11}$  and  $E_{12}$ , for that we proceed as below,

let  $F_1 = m - dx - \frac{y^2}{\rho + y^2}x$

and  $F_1 = \frac{y^2}{\rho + y^2}x - ay$

now  $\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x} = -d - \frac{y^2}{\rho + y^2}$

$$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y} = \frac{[(\rho + y^2)2y - y^2(2y)]x}{(\rho + y^2)^2} = - \frac{[2y\rho + 2y^3 - 2y^3]x}{(\rho + y^2)^2} = \frac{2ypx}{(\rho + y^2)^2}$$

Also  $\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} = \frac{y^2}{\rho + y^2}$

And  $\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial y} = - \frac{[(\rho + y^2)2y - y^2(2y)]x}{(\rho + y^2)^2} - a$

$$= -\frac{[2y\rho+2y^3-2y^3]x}{(\rho+y^2)^2} - a$$

$$= \frac{2y\rho x}{(\rho+y^2)^2} - a$$

the Jacobi matrix at  $E_{11}$  is

$$J_{E_{11}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{a(1+d)[4a^2d\rho(1+d)+m(\sqrt{m^2-4a^2d\rho(1+d)}-m)]}{2a^2\rho(1+d)+m(m-\sqrt{m^2-4a^2d\rho(1+d)})}$$

Since  $m^2 > 4a^2d\rho(1+d)$  in this case,  
 $4a^2d\rho(1+d)+m(\sqrt{m^2-4a^2d\rho(1+d)}-m) > 0$  is valid  
 Thus  $\det(J_{E_{11}}) < 0$  and the equilibrium  $E_{11}$  is saddle.  
 Now we determine the stability of  $E_{12}$  for that we have the Jacobian matrix at  $E_{12}$  is

$$J_{E_{12}} = \begin{bmatrix} -d - \frac{\bar{y}_2^2}{\rho + \bar{y}_2^2} & -\frac{2\bar{x}_2\bar{y}_2\rho}{(\rho + \bar{y}_2^2)^2} \\ \frac{\bar{y}_2^2}{\rho + \bar{y}_2^2} & -a + \frac{2\bar{x}_2\bar{y}_2\rho}{(\rho + \bar{y}_2^2)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore \det(J_{E_{12}}) = \frac{(-a(\rho+\bar{y}_2^2)-\bar{y}_2^2)(-a(\rho+\bar{y}_2^2)^2+2\bar{x}_2\bar{y}_2\rho)+2\rho\bar{y}_2^3x_2}{(\rho+\bar{y}_2^2)^3}$$

$$= \frac{a(1+d)[-4a^2d\rho(1+d)+m(\sqrt{m^2-4a^2d\rho(1+d)}+m)]}{2a^2\rho(1+d)+m(m+\sqrt{m^2-4a^2d\rho(1+d)})}$$

$\det(J_{E_{12}}) > 0$  thus the equilibrium  $E_{12}$  is a node, or a focus, or a center.  
 For the sake of simplicity, we denote

$$m_\epsilon = 2a\sqrt{d(1+d)}, m_\circ = \frac{a^2(1+2d)}{\sqrt{(a-d)(1+a+d)}} \text{ if } a > 2d(1+d)$$

on the stability of  $E_{12}$  we have the following results:

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that equilibrium  $F_{12}$  exists, that is  $m > m_\epsilon$ .

Then  $E_{12}$  is always stable is  $d \leq a \leq 2d(1+d)$ . When  $a > 2d(1+d)$ , we have

- (i)  $E_{12}$  is stable if  $m > m_\circ$ .
- (ii)  $E_{12}$  is unstable if  $m > m_\circ$ .
- (iii)  $E_{12}$  is linear center if  $m > m_\circ$ .

Proof :we have the Jacobian matrix of  $E_{12}$  as

$$J_{E_{12}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-d(\rho + \bar{y}_2^2) - \bar{y}_2^2}{(\rho + \bar{y}_2^2)} & \frac{-2\bar{x}_2\bar{y}_2\rho}{(\rho + \bar{y}_2^2)^2} \\ \frac{\bar{y}_2^2}{\rho + \bar{y}_2^2} & \frac{-a(\rho + \bar{y}_2^2)^2 + 2\bar{x}_2\bar{y}_2\rho}{(\rho + \bar{y}_2^2)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now

$$\text{tr}(J_{E_{12}}) = \frac{-d(\rho+\bar{y}_2^2)-\bar{y}_2^2}{(\rho+\bar{y}_2^2)^3} + \frac{(-a(\rho+\bar{y}_2^2)^2)+2\bar{x}_2\bar{y}_2\rho}{(\rho+\bar{y}_2^2)^2}$$

Thus

$$\text{tr}(J_{E_{12}}) = \frac{2a^2\rho(1+d)(1+2d)-m(1+a+d)[m+\sqrt{m^2-4a^2d\rho(1+d)}]}{2a^2(1+d)\rho+m[m+\sqrt{m^2-4a^2d\rho(1+d)}]}$$

and its sign is determined by

$$F(m) = 2a^3\rho(1+d)(1+2d) - m(1+a+d)[m+\sqrt{m^2-4a^2d\rho(1+d)}] \tag{2.2.8}$$

$$F(m) = -(1+a+d) \left[ 2m + \sqrt{m^2-4a^2d\rho(1+d)} + \frac{m^2}{\sqrt{m^2-4a^2d\rho(1+d)}} \right]$$

(2.2.9)

Which means that  $F(m)$  is a monotone decreasing function of variable  $m$

Note that  $F(m)=0$  implies that

$$\frac{2a^3\rho(1+d)(1+2d)}{-m(1+a+d)} - m = \sqrt{m^2 - 4a^2d\rho(1+d)}$$

(2.2.10)

This means that  $F(m_0) = 0$  thus under the condition of  $m > m_0$  and sign of  $F(m)$ ,  $\text{tr}(J_{E_{12}}) < 0$  is always valid if  $a \leq 2d(1+d)$ , when  $a > 2d(1+d)$ ,  $\text{tr}(J_{E_{12}}) < 0$  if  $m > m_0$ , and  $\text{tr}(J_{E_{12}}) = 0$  if  $m = m_0$

**Theorem 2.2.** There is no limit cycle in (2.1.3) if either of the following condition hold:

- (i)  $a=d$  and  $m^2 > 4a^2d\rho(1+d)$
- (ii)  $d < a < 2d(1+d)$  and  $4a^2d(1+d)\rho < m^2 < \frac{a^4\rho(1+2d)^2}{(a-d)(1+a+d)}$

When  $m^2 = 4a^2d(1+d)\rho$ , system (2.1.3) has a unique infection equilibrium  $E_1$ . The Jacobian matrix at  $E_1$  is

$$J_{E_1} = \begin{bmatrix} -d \frac{-y^{*2}}{\rho + y^{*2}} & \frac{2x^*y^*\rho}{(\rho + y^{*2})} \\ \frac{y^{*2}}{(\rho + y^{*2})} & -a + \frac{2x^*y^*\rho}{(\rho + y^{*2})^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Thus } \text{tr}(J_{E_1}) = \frac{4a^2(1+d)\rho[a-2d(1+d)]}{4a^2(1+d)^2+p+m^2}$$

Hence  $E_1$  is a degenerate singular point  
Hence the theorem is proved

**Conclusion**

Modeling result is helpful to predict the developing tendency of disease. The model we have discussed provides learning about the effect of non-linear infection rate, and we also study the stability of infection equilibria. As we have found that when  $\det(J_{E_{11}}) < 0$  the equilibrium  $E_{11}$  is a saddle and when  $\det(J_{E_{11}}) > 0$  the equilibrium  $E_{12}$  is a node, or a focus or a centre. Also we have observed that (i) when  $m > m_0$ , then  $E_{12}$  is stable (ii) when  $m < m_0$  then  $E_{12}$  is unstable and (iii) when  $m = m_0$  then  $E_{12}$  is a linear centre.

**Reference**

1. Anderson, R.M and May, R.M (1998). Infection diseases of Human’s Dynamics and control, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
2. Anderson, R.M and May, R. (1991). “Infectious diseases of human.” Oxford University Press, Oxford, England.
3. Bernoulli, D.(1760). Essai d’une Nouvelle Analyse de la Mortalite Causeepar la petite Verole et des. Avantages de l’inoculum Pour la Prevenia, Mem Math. Phys, Acad, Roy Sci. Paris (1760), 1-45.

\*\*\*\*\*